hvn

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION

WRIT PETITION NO. 3026 OF 2006

Maharashtra Suraksha Rakshak
Aghadi ... Petitioner

Versus

The Security Guards
Board for Gr. Bombay and Ors. .. Respondents

Mrs. Ranjana Todankar for Petitioner.

Ms. Sonia Sunil for R. No. 3.

CORAM: F.I. REBELLO &
ANOOP V. MOHTA,JJ.
DATED: DECEMBER 12, 2006

P.C.:

. Rule. Heard forthwith.

. Considering the controversy and disposal of the similar petitions, petition is disposed of by issuing the following directions :

(1) Respondent No. 1, if the security guards whose names are listed at Exh A. if have applied for registration to complete the exercise of registration according to law within eight weeks from today or if not applied, within eight weeks from the date of such application.

(2) In so far as Respondent No. 2 is concerned, if Respondent No. 2 is not registered employer and

engages security guards, then to take steps which respondent No. 1 has to take.

. With the above observations, Petition disposed of.

(ANOOP V. MOHTA,J.) **(F.I.REBELLO, J.)**